

**In the Central Information Commission
at
New Delhi**

File No: **CIC/WB/A/2010/000865-AD**

Date of Hearing : December 23, 2010

Date of Decision : December 23, 2010

Parties:

Applicant

Shri Pankaj Sundriyal
Advocate
Chamber No.62
2nd Floor, CJM Court Compound
Dehradun

The Applicant was not present for the hearing

Respondent

M/o Human Resource Development
D/o Higher Education
Shastri Bhawan
'C' Wing
New Delhi

Represented by : Shri B.K.Bhadri, Asst. Educational Advisor

Information Commissioner : Mrs. Annapurna Dixit

Decision Notice

Information has been provided.

**In the Central Information Commission
at
New Delhi**

File No: **CIC/WB/A/2010/000865-AD**

ORDER

Background

1. The Applicant filed an RTI application dt.12.4.10 with the CPIO, M/o HRD seeking information. Shri B.K.Bhadri, CPIO provided the information on 4.5.10. The information sought and the information provided are given below:

S.No.	Information sought	Reply provided
1.	Whether the degree/qualification earned through distance mode by an employee/student from a recognized University/Deemed University/Institution are recognized for employment purpose in Central Govt./State Govt./PSUs under Central & State Govt.	In so far as qualifications obtained from Universities/Institutions through distance mode for the purpose of employment under Central Govt, the MHRD (D/o Higher Education) has clarified its position vide Gazette Notification No.44 dt.1.3.95 (Copy enclosed). As regards employment under State Govt./State PSU's other employers, it is for the concerned employer to take a view
2.	Whether the draft of the policy circulated by MHRD on 28.8.09 is affecting presently the policies/education rules of Govt. of India or any other sector's rules.	The Policy has not been finalized yet.

- 2, Not satisfied with the reply, the Applicant filed an appeal dt.27.5.10 with the Appellate Authority seeking further clarification. Shri D.K.Paliwal, Appellate Authority replied on 1.7.10 stating that that CPIO has provided information as available in the records. Being aggrieved with the reply, the Applicant filed a second appeal dt.2.8.10 before CIC.

Decision

3. During the hearing, the Respondents shared a copy of the Notification No.44 dt.1.3.95 regarding recognition of qualifications for employment with the Commission which clearly established the fact

that the notification is applicable to all PSUs. Hence the Commission finds the Appellant's contention that point 1 has not been answered correctly as not tenable. With regard to point 2, the Appellant has already been informed that the policy has not yet been finalized. With regard to point 3 of the first appeal, the Appellant is only commenting on certain aspects of distance education and is not seeking any information.

4. On careful consideration of the submissions on record and after hearing the respondents, the Commission holds that complete information has been provided to the Appellant. However
5. The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

(Annapurna Dixit)
Information Commissioner

Authenticated true copy

(G.Subramanian)
Deputy Registrar

Cc:

1. Shri Pankaj Sundriyal
Advocate
Chamber No.62
2nd Floor, CJM Court Compound
Dehradun
2. The Public Information Officer
M/o Human Resource Development
D/o Higher Education
Shastri Bhawan
'C' Wing
New Delhi
3. The Appellate Authority
M/o Human Resource Development
D/o Higher Education
Shastri Bhawan
'C' Wing
New Delhi

4. Officer Incharge, NIC